

Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education

Performance Development Report

State University Administrators/Grant Funded Program Coordinators

The Performance Development Report (PDR) is to be used as the evaluation tool for all State University Administrators (SUA) and Grant Funded Program Coordinators (GFPC). The purpose of the PDR is to provide the immediate supervisor and employee with an opportunity to review the employee's job duties, responsibilities and performance on an annual basis. The PDR is designed to generate discussion and open communication between the immediate supervisor and employee, to promote the growth and development of employees in the SUA/GFPC classifications and to foster support for the department/university mission.

At the beginning of the annual evaluation period, the immediate supervisor and employee should use the job description as a guideline to identify those general performance factors that will be included in the PDR, deleting or adding performance factors that are applicable to the employee's position. When evaluating critical performance categories, the ratings are defined as follows:

OUTSTANDING: Employee achieves results on a constant basis and significantly

surpasses job standards.

EXCEEDS STANDARDS: Employee frequently exceeds job standards.

MEETS STANDARDS: Employee meets the standards of the job in a fully adequate manner

NEEDS IMPROVEMENT: Employee occasionally falls below acceptable standards

UNSATISFACTORY: Employee's work reflects excessive performance discrepancies that

must be corrected.

The PDR should be completed on an annual basis, or more frequently if needed, for all permanent employees in the SUA/GFPC classifications. Prior to completing the annual PDR, the immediate supervisor should solicit input from the employee in an effort to arrive at a mutual understanding regarding the finished product. For probationary employees who work a 12-month schedule, the PDR should be completed in the 12^{th} month of employment with the 3^{rd} , 6^{th} , and 9^{th} month reviews completed separately on the probationary evaluation forms. For probationary employees who work a 9-month schedule, the PDR should be completed in the 9^{th} month of employment with the 3^{rd} and 6^{th} month reviews completed on the probationary evaluation form.

Annually, the Human Resource Office will forward the PDR form along with the most current job description on file to the employee's immediate supervisor. The job description is to be reviewed and updated at that time, if necessary. The annual evaluation will typically be done on an employee's anniversary date unless, for operational reasons, the department in conjunction with the Human Resources Office determines it should be done at a different time. During the evaluation meeting, the immediate supervisor and employee will discuss job performance and review job duties and responsibilities and develop a plan for the employee's professional development. The completed PDR should be signed by the immediate supervisor and employee. The original PDR is then to be forwarded to Human Resources to be placed in the employee's personnel file. A copy should also be forwarded to the reviewing officer, for review and signature, as appropriate.



PENNSYLVANIA STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION PERFORMANCE DEVELOPMENT REPORT FOR SUA EMPLOYEES

FERI ORIVIANCE DE	VLLOPIVILINI	KLFOKI I OK 3	OA LIVIPLOTEL
EMPLOYEE NAME	TYPE REPORT	M	☐ PROBATIONARY END
EMPLOYEE PERSONNEL NUMBER	CAMPUS		
CLASS TITLE	WORK TITLE		
DEPARTMENT	EVALUATION PERIOD	FROM	ТО
CRITICAL PER	RFORMANCE CAT	EGORIES	
This report includes four critical performate performance review discussions (Organiz Effectiveness, and Supervisory Ability). E which may be considered. You may choof factors listed under a particular category your area's strategic plan or the employed outstanding needs improvement or unsa section of the performance development	zational Success Each category listose to mutually e r, or add others, o ee's developmen tisfactory must b	, Making People Mat ts specific performan Iliminate any of the p depending on their a t plan. Each categor	ter, Job nce factors performance pplicability to y that is rated

Organizational Success

*	teamwork/cooperation (within and across units)	Outstanding
*	customer orientation	Exceeds Standards
*	commitment to continuous improvement	
*	creativity/innovation	☐ Needs Improvement

- flexibility/adaptability to change
- continuous learning/development
- ❖ leadership/initiative

Comments:

☐ Outstanding
□ Exceeds Standards
☐ Meets Standards
□ Needs Improvement
☐ Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE NAME:	EMPLOYEE NUMBER:
Making People Matter	
 respect for others interpersonal skills supports diversity and understands related issues honesty/fairness builds trust recognizes others' achievements understands others' perspectives resolves conflicts constructively positive attitude 	 ☐ Outstanding ☐ Exceeds Standards Meets Standards ☐ Needs Improvement ☐ Unsatisfactory
Comments:	
Job Effectiveness:	
 planning/organization problem solving/judgment makes effective decisions takes responsibility achieves results communicates effectively dependability job/organizational knowledge productivity 	 ☐ Outstanding ☐ Exceeds Standards ☐ Meets Standards ☐ Needs Improvement ☐ Unsatisfactory

Comments:

EMPLOYEE NAME:	EMPLOYEE NUMBER:
Supervisory Ability: (OPTIONAL)	
 coaches/counsels/evaluates staff identifies areas for and supports employee development encourages teamwork and group achievement leads change/achieves support of objectives enables and empowers staff strives to achieve diverse staff at all levels understands diversity issues and creates supportive enviewployees 	
☐ Outstanding☐ Exceeds StandardsMeets Standards☐ Needs ImprovementUnsatisfactory	
Comments:	
Overall Rating and Comments: (Continue on additional paper)	
 ☐ Outstanding ☐ Exceeds Standards ☐ Meets Standards ☐ Needs Improvement ☐ Unsatisfactory 	

Areas of Strong Competence:		
Areas in Need of Improvement:		
Other Comments:		

Overall Rating and Comments: (Continued)

<u>Professional Development Plan:</u> (identify opportunities for impronext review period/recommendations for future development:	ovement/expectations for the		
Supervisor Signature:	Date:		
Supervisor Signature.	Date.		
I acknowledge that I have read this report and that I have been given an opportunity to discuss it with my evaluator. My signature does not necessarily mean that I agree with the			
report. Employee Signature:	Date:		
Employee dignature.	bate.		
I would like to discuss this report with my reviewing officer.	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Employee Signature:	Date:		
As requested, reviewing officer discussed report with employee.			
Reviewing Officer Signature:	Date:		

Return original to Office of Human Resources for placement in the employee's personnel file and a copy to the reviewing officer and the employee.